

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/705,369	11/03/2000	Stephen V. R. Hellriegel	901115.431	7552	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER		
			NORRIS, JEREMY C		
SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, W	A 98104-7092	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicant/a)	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/705,369		HELLRIEGEL ET	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jeremy Norris	- t 4 4t- 4t	2841	ddress
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the	correspondence at	747 033
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing application of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howen by within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Site, cause the application to any date of this communication.	wer, may a reply be to mum of thirty (30) do SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed  ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this IFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	October 2001			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		the merits is
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for for for Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	me mento to
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are withdraw	wn from considerat	tion.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠					
7)[∠	Claim(s) <u>19,20 and 22</u> is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election require	ement.		
Applica	tion Papers				
	The execitioation is objected to by the Exami	ner.			in or
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2000 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted	d or b)∐ object	ed to by the Exami	niei. 3)
ł		the drawing(s) be no	eld in abeyance	. 366 31 31 11 1.00	, <del>-</del> -,-
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] approv	ved b) 🗀 disat	proved by the Exam	Tillier.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office a	iction.		
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			10(=) (d) or (f)	
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (1).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been re	ceived.	teation No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been re	ceived in Appl	ication No	Snot Stage
	Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International     See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified	copies not red	ceived.	
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 1	(to a provisi	onai application).
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nrovisional applic	ation has been	i leceived.	
Attacht					or No(s)
1) 🖾 !	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5)	Interview Sur Notice of Info Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Pap ormal Patent Applicatio	er No(s) n (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2841

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 15-25, in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-18, 21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chia et al (US 5,643,835).

Art Unit: 2841

Chia et al (hereafter Chia) disclose, referring to figure 7, an electronic connector comprising: a flexible substrate (702); a plurality of features (708) positioned on said substrate with reference to a first set of registration guides (712a & b); an additional feature (710) positioned on said substrate with reference to said first set of registration guides. Chia does not specifically disclose a second set of registration guides positioned on said substrate concurrently with said additional feature; a second additional feature positioned on said substrate with reference to said second set of registration guides [claim 15]. However, it would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to include such a second set. The motivation for doing so would have been to properly align a second device onto the substrate. Furthermore, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co, v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Additionally, it's clear that the modified invention of Chia discloses that said plurality of features includes a plurality of electrically conductive traces [claim 16] and electrodes [claim 17] wherein each of said plurality of electrodes is in electrical contact with a corresponding one of said plurality of electrically conductive traces (see col. 6, lines 60-end) [claim 18] wherein said additional feature and said second set of registration guides are formed by plating a conductive metal on said flexible substrate (see col. 7, lines 1-5) [claim 21]. wherein said concurrent positioning of said additional feature and said second set of registration guides results in a fixed and known positional relationship between the additional feature and the second set of registration guides (see col. 7, lines 1-14) [claim 23] wherein said positioning of said plurality of features

Art Unit: 2841

with reference to said first set of registration guides results in a positional relationship fixed within known tolerances between any two of said plurality of features (see col. 7, lines 1-14) [claim 24] wherein said positional relationship between said additional feature and said second additional feature is fixed and known with a high degree of confidence as compared to said positional relationship between any two of said plurality of features (see col. 7, lines 1-14) [claim 25].

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19, 20, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents disclose the use of alignment marks for device placement:

US 6,278,193 Coico et al.,

US 6,296,122 Nakazono et al..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Norris whose telephone number is 703-306-5737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th., 9AM - 6:30 PM and alt. Fri. 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2841

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7724 for regular communications and 703-305-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

**JCSN** 

December 14, 2001

Klunis Primary Examin